IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KELLY J. RICHARDSON, and JAMES POWELL, personally and as next friend for I.R., a minor; and S.P., a minor;

No 3:14-cv-01027-YY

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

v.

EMILIE SCHUBERT, in her individual Capacity; GINGER VAN WINKLE, in her individual capacity; ERIN WIRTZ, in her individual capacity; JENNIE SMITH, in her individual capacity; KRISTIN LEMON, in her individual capacity; CARLOS CRUTCH, in his individual capacity; LINDSEY VERNOOY, in her individual capacity; DANIELLE SANTILI-DAY, in her individual capacity;

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge You issued a Findings and Recommendation [168] on July 26, 2017, in which she recommends that this Court deny Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

[157]. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record de novo. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v. Bernhardt, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (de novo review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, the Court finds no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge You's Findings & Recommendation [168]. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [157] is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

day of

United States District Judge